

Ignatenko A. V., Svinarenko A. A., Mansarliysky V. F., Sakun T. N.

Odesa State Environmental University, 15, Lvovskaya str., Odesa, 65016

National Aviation University, 1, Liubomyra Huzara ave., Kiev, 03058

E-mail: ignatenkoav13@gmail.com

OPTIMIZED QUASIPARTICLE DENSITY FUNCTIONAL AND GREEN'S FUNCTIONS METHOD TO COMPUTING BOND ENERGIES OF DIATOMIC MOLECULES

It is presented an advanced approach to computing the energy and spectral parameters of the diatomic molecules, which is based on the hybrid combined density functional theory (DFT) and the Green's-functions (GF) approach. The Fermi-liquid quasiparticle version of the density functional theory is modified and used. The density of states, which describe the vibrational structure in photoelectron spectra, is defined with the use of combined DFT-GF approach and is well approximated by using only the first order coupling constants in the optimized one-quasiparticle approximation. Using the combined DFT-GF approach to computing the spectroscopic factors of diatomic molecules leads to significant simplification of the calculation procedure and increasing an accuracy of theoretical prediction. As illustration, the results of computing the bond energies in a number of known diatomic molecules are presented and compared with alternative theoretical results, obtained within discrete-variational X_α , muffin-tin orbitals and other methods.

1. Introduction

In this paper we study the problem of calculating the important spectroscopic characteristics of multielectron systems (atoms and molecules), namely, the spectroscopic factor. The spectroscopic factor is one of the most important characteristics of atomic and molecular systems and the precise information about it is very important for many applications [1-47].

In calculations based on the density functional theory (DFT) methods in the local density (LP) approximation, invariants have become widespread: discrete-variational X_α (DV- X_α), muffin-tin orbitals (MTO) method in a version of the linear MTO method and localized orbitals, modified DV- X_α method with using a scheme of the transition state (TS) (see [1]). Although, in computational terms, these methods are highly economical, the error in calculating complex molecules based on them can reach several eV

In this paper we present an advanced approach to computing the spectroscopic factors of the diatomic molecules within the hybrid combined density functional theory (DFT) in the Fermi-liquid formulation and

the Green's-functions (GF) approach to quantitative determination of the spectroscopic factors for some molecular systems. The approach is based on the Green's function method (Cederbaum-Domske version) [1,2] and Fermi-liquid DFT formalism [3-7] and using the novel effective density functionals (see also [11-22]). It is important that the calculational procedure is significantly simplified with using the quasiparticle DFT formalism.

2. Many-body theory

As usually, introducing a field operator $\Psi(R, \theta, x) = \sum_i \phi_i(x, R, \theta) a_i(R, \theta)$ with the Hartree-Fock (HF) one-particle functions ϕ_i ($\in_i(R)$) are the one-particle HF energies and f denotes the set of orbitals occupied in the HF ground state; R_0 is the equilibrium geometry on the HF level) and dimensionless normal coordinates Q_s one can write the standard Hamiltonian as follows [2,7]:

$$H = H_E + H_N + H_{EN}^{(1)} + H_{EN}^{(2)}, \quad (1)$$

$$H_E = \sum_i \epsilon_i(R_0) a_i^t a_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum V_{ijkl}(R_0) a_i^t a_j^t a_l a_k - \sum_{i,j} \sum_{k \in f} [V_{ikjk}(R_0) - V_{ikkj}(R_0)] a_i^t a_j \quad (2)$$

$$H_N = \hbar \sum_{s=1}^M \omega_s (b_s^t b_s + \frac{1}{2}), \quad (2)$$

$$H_{EN}^{(1)} = 2^{-1/2} \sum_{s=1}^M \left(\frac{\partial \epsilon_i}{\partial Q_s} \right)_0 (b_s + b_s^t) [a_i^t a_i - n_i] + \frac{1}{4} \sum_i \sum_{s,s'=1}^M \left(\frac{\partial^2 \epsilon_i}{\partial Q_s \partial Q_{s'}} \right)_0 (b_s + b_s^t) (b_{s'} + b_{s'}^t) [a_i^t a_i - n_i] g_s^i = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\partial \epsilon_i}{\partial Q_s} \right)_0, \quad \gamma_{ss'}^i = \pm \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \epsilon_i}{\partial Q_s \partial Q_{s'}} \right)_0. \quad (7)$$

$$H_{EN}^{(2)} = 2^{-3/2} \sum \sum_{s=1}^M \left(\frac{\partial V_{ijkl}}{\partial Q_s} \right)_0 (b_s + b_s^t) [\delta v_1 a_i^t a_j^t a_k + \delta v_2 a_i a_k a_i^t a_j^t + 2 \delta v_3 a_j^t a_k a_i a_i^t] + \frac{1}{8} \sum \sum_{s,s'=1}^M \left(\frac{\partial^2 V_{ijkl}}{\partial Q_s \partial Q_{s''}} \right)_0 (b_s + b_s^t) (b_{s'} + b_{s'}^t) \cdot [\delta v_1 a_i^t a_j^t a_k + \delta v_2 a_i a_k a_i^t a_j^t + 2 \delta v_3 a_j^t a_k a_i a_i^t], \quad (3b)$$

with $n_i=1$ (0), $i \in f$ ($i \notin f$), $\delta \sigma_f=1$ (0), $(ijkl) \in \sigma_f$, where the index set v_1 means that at least ϕ_k and ϕ_l or ϕ_i and ϕ_j are unoccupied, v_2 that at most one of the orbitals is unoccupied, and v_3 that ϕ_k and ϕ_j or ϕ_l and ϕ_j are unoccupied. The ω_s are the HF frequencies; b_s, b_s^t are destruction and creation operators for vibrational quanta as

$$Q_s = (1/\sqrt{2})(b_s + b_s^t), \quad \partial / \partial Q_s = (1/\sqrt{2})(b_s - b_s^t). \quad (4)$$

The interpretation of the above Hamiltonian and an exact solution of the one-body HF problem is given in refs. [1,-7]. The usual way is to define the HF-single-particle component H_0 of the Hamiltonian (4) is as in Refs. [1,4]. Correspondingly in the one-particle picture the density of occupied states is given by

$$N_k^0(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\hbar^{-1}(\epsilon - \epsilon_k)t} \langle 0 | e^{\pm i\hbar^{-1}\tilde{H}_{0'}} | 0 \rangle, \quad (5)$$

$$\tilde{H}_0 = \sum_{s=1}^M \hbar \omega_s b_s^t b_s + \sum_{s=1}^M g_s^k (b_s + b_s^t) + \sum_{s,s'=1}^M \gamma_{ss'}^k (b_s + b_s^t) (b_{s'} + b_{s'}^t) \quad (6)$$

$$g_s^i = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\partial \epsilon_i}{\partial Q_s} \right)_0, \quad \gamma_{ss'}^i = \pm \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \epsilon_i}{\partial Q_s \partial Q_{s'}} \right)_0. \quad (7)$$

To get function $N_k(\epsilon)$ one calculates the GF $G_{kk'}(\epsilon)$ (see details in Refs. [1-7,31-35]):

$$G_{kk'}(\epsilon) = -i\hbar^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i\hbar^{-1}\epsilon t} \langle \psi_0 | T \{ a_k(t) a_k^t(0) \} | \psi_0 \rangle \quad (8)$$

Choosing the unperturbed H_0 to be $H_0 = \sum \epsilon_i a_i^t a_i + H_N$ one could define GF as

$$G_{kk'}^{OB}(t) = \pm \delta_{kk'} i \exp[-in^{-1}(\epsilon_k \mp \Delta\epsilon)t] \cdot \sum_n |\langle \hat{n}_k | U_k | 0 \rangle|^2 \exp(\pm in_k \cdot \hat{\omega}_k t) \quad (9)$$

The direct method for calculation of $N_k(\epsilon)$ as the imaginary part of the GF includes a definition of the vertical I.P. (V.I.P.s) of the reference molecule and then of $N_k(\epsilon)$.

The zeros of the functions:

$$D_k(\epsilon) = \epsilon - [\epsilon^{op} + \Sigma(\epsilon)]_k, \quad (10)$$

where $(\epsilon^{op} + \Sigma)_k$ denotes the k -th eigenvalue of the diagonal matrix of the one-particle energies added to matrix of the self-energy part, are the negative V. I. P.'s for a given geometry. One can write [2,4]:

$$(V.I.P.)_k = -(\epsilon_k + F_k), \quad F_k = \Sigma_{kk} (- (V.I.P.)_k) \approx \frac{1}{1 - \partial \Sigma_{kk}(\epsilon_k) / \partial \epsilon} \Sigma_{kk}(\epsilon_k) \quad (11)$$

Expanding the ionic energy E_k^{N-1} about the equilibrium geometry of the reference molecule in a power series of the normal coordinates leads to a set of linear equations for the unknown normal coordinate shifts δQ_s , and new coupling constants:

$$g_1 = \pm \left(1/\sqrt{2}\right) \left[\partial(\epsilon_k + F_k)/\partial Q_l \right]_0 \quad (12)$$

$$\gamma_{ll'} = \pm \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \left[\partial^2(\epsilon_k + F_k)/\partial Q_l / \partial Q_{l'} \right]_0$$

The coupling constants $g_l, \gamma_{ll'}$ are calculated by the well-known perturbation expansion of the self-energy part. One could write:

$$\sum_{kk}^{(2)}(\epsilon) = \sum_{\substack{i,j \\ s \notin F}} \frac{(V_{ksij} - V_{ksji}) \mathcal{V}_{ksij}}{\epsilon_i + \epsilon_s - \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j} + \sum_{\substack{i,j \\ s \notin F}} \frac{(V_{ksij} - V_{ksji}) \mathcal{V}_{ksij}}{\epsilon_i + \epsilon_s - \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j} \quad (13)$$

and the coupling constant g_b are as [17]:

$$g_l \approx \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\partial \epsilon_k}{\partial Q_l} \frac{1 + q_k (\partial/\partial \epsilon) \sum_{kk} [-(V.I.P.)_k]}{1 - (\partial/\partial \epsilon) \sum_{kk} [-(V.I.P.)_k]} \quad (14)$$

The pole strength of the corresponding GF:

$$\rho_k = \left\{ 1 - \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \sum_{kk} [-(V.I.P.)_k] \right\}^{-1}; 1 \geq \rho_k \geq 0,$$

$$g_l \approx g_l^0 [\rho_k + q_k (\rho_k - 1)]$$

$$g_l^0 = \pm 2^{-1/2} \partial \epsilon_k / \partial Q_l \quad (15)$$

3. Fermi-liquid quasiparticle density functional theory

The quasiparticle Fermi-liquid version of the DFT [3-8,31,36] is used to determine the coupling constants etc. The master equations can be obtained on the basis of variational principle, if we start from a Lagrangian of a molecule L_q . It should be defined as a functional of quasiparticle densities:

$$\nu_0(r) = \sum_{\lambda} n_{\lambda} |\Phi_{\lambda}(r)|^2,$$

$$\nu_1(r) = \sum_{\lambda} n_{\lambda} |\nabla \Phi_{\lambda}(r)|^2, \quad (16)$$

$$\nu_2(r) = \sum_{\lambda} n_{\lambda} [\Phi_{\lambda}^* \Phi_{\lambda} - \Phi_{\lambda}^* \Phi_{\lambda}].$$

The densities ν_0 and ν_1 are similar to the HF electron density and kinetical energy density correspondingly; the density ν_2 has no an analog in the HF or DFT theory and appears as result of account for the energy dependence of the mass operator Σ . A Lagrangian L_q can be written as a sum of a free Lagrangian and Lagrangian of interaction: $L_q = L_q^0 + L_q^{\text{int}}$, where the interaction Lagrangian is defined in the form, which is characteristic for a standard DFT (as a sum of the Coulomb and exchange-correlation terms), but, it takes into account for a mass operator energy dependence of Σ :

$$L_q^{\text{int}} = L_K - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,k=0}^2 \int \beta_{ik} F(r_1, r_2) \nu_i(r_1) \nu_k(r_2) dr_1 dr_2 \quad (17)$$

where F is an effective exchange-correlation interaction potential. The constants β_{ik} are defined in Refs. [3-5]. The constant β_{02} can be calculated by analytical way, but it is very useful to keep in mind its connection with a spectroscopic factor F_{sp} [4,5]:

$$F_{sp} = \left\{ 1 - \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \sum_{kk} [-(V.I.P.)_k] \right\} \quad (18)$$

The new element is linked with using the DFT correlation Gunnarsson-Lundqvist, Lee-Yang-Parr functionals (c.g.[12-16]).

The multiplier $[1 - \sum_{kk} [-(V.I.P.)_k]]$ is easily calculated if the Gunnarsson-Lundqvist-like correlation potential is used as V_{XC} and $\sum_{kk} [-(V.I.P.)_k]$ is determined as follows:

$$\sum_{kk} (r) = 0,254 \rho(r) [0,328 \rho^{-2/3}(r) +$$

$$+ 0,204 \rho^{-2/3}(r) / \{1 + 18,376 \rho^{1/3}(r)\}]$$

4. Results and conclusions

Let us present the results of calculating the binding energies and equilibrium distances in molecules N_2, O_2, F_2 belonging to the class of complex from the point of view of taking into account the correlation effects. Effective approach to this topic can be performed within different versions of the standard DV approach such as: DV- X_α , DV- X_α (TS), MTO (see Table 1, where experimental data are also presented for comparison).

Table 1
Bond energies (eV) and equilibrium distances (a.u.): 1 – experiment; 2- DV- X_α , 3- DV- X_α -TS, 4- MTO, 5 – Green function approach, 6 - present work

	E_B	R_e	E_B	R_e	E_B	R_e
	N_2		O_2		F_2	
1	9,91	2,07	5,22	2,28	1,67	2,68
2	9,39	2,11	8,15	2,35	8,97	2,35
3	10,86	2,11	4,14	2,33	3,54	2,61
4	7,8	2,16	4,10	2,30	0,6	2,91
5	9,99	2,11	3,78	2,33	3,21	2,6
6	9,95	2,09	5,12	2,31	1,58	2,70

As follows from the comparison of the energy values presented in Table 1 and the values of the molecular constants are sensitive to the calculation scheme. A more careful consideration of multiparticle correlation effects within the framework of this procedure leads to an improvement in the agreement between the calculation and experiment (in particular, this is observed for molecules; for there is some deterioration). The results obtained in this calculation are in slightly better agreement with experiment than the results of calculations in other versions of the DV method.

It can be concluded that the development of a more perfect than the existing methods

to computing molecular constants may be associated with careful consideration of complex correlation effects, including many-body corrections. The one-quasiparticle representation used here can be taken as the zeroth one in one of the sophisticated versions of the many-body PT such as , for example, the Möller-Plesset PT (see e.g. [6]).

References

1. Köppel, H., Domcke, W., Cederbaum, L.S., Green's function method in quantum chemistry. *Adv. Chem. Phys.* **1984**, 57, 59-132
2. Cederbaum, L., Domcke, W., On vibrational structure of photoelectron spectra by the Green's functions method. *J.Chem. Phys.* **1984**, 60, 2878-2896.
3. Glushkov, A. An universal quasiparticle energy functional in a density functional theory for relativistic atom. *Opt. and Spectr.* **1989**, 66(1), 31-36.
4. Glushkov, A.V. New approach to theoretical definition of ionization potentials for molecules on the basis of Green's function method. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1992**, 66, 2671-2677.
5. Glushkov, A.V. *Relativistic and correlation effects in spectra of atomic systems*. Astroprint: Odessa, **2006**.
6. Glushkov, A.V. *Relativistic Quantum theory. Quantum mechanics of atomic systems*. Astroprint: Odessa, **2008**.
7. Ignatenko, A.V. and Lavrenko, A.P., Spectroscopic factors of diatomic molecules: optimized green's functions and density functional method. *Photoelectronics*. **2019**. Vol.28. P.83-89.
8. Ignatenko, A.V., Glushkov, A.V., Lepikh, Ya.I., Kvasikova, A.S. Photoelectron spectroscopy of diatomic molecules:optimized Green's functions and density functional approach. *Photoelectronics*. **2018**, 27, 44-51.
9. Glushkov A., Khetselius O., Svinarenko A., Buyadzhi V. *Spectroscopy of autoionization states of heavy atoms and multiply charged ions*. TEC, **2015**.

10. Ponomarenko, E.L., Kuznetsova, A.A., Dubrovskaya, Yu.V., Bakunina, E.V. Energy and spectroscopic parameters of diatomics within generalized equation of motion method. *Photoelectronics*. **2016**, 25, 114-118.
11. Svinarenko, A.A., Glushkov, A. V., Khetselius, O.Yu., Ternovsky, V.B., Dubrovskaya, Yu., Kuznetsova, A., Buyadzhi, V. Theoretical spectroscopy of rare-earth elements: spectra and autoionization resonances. *Rare Earth Element*, Ed. J. Orjuela (InTech) **2017**, pp 83-104
12. Glushkov, A.V., Khetselius, O.Yu., Svinarenko A.A., Buyadzhi, V.V., Ternovsky, V.B., Kuznetsova, A., Bashkarev, P Relativistic perturbation theory formalism to computing spectra and radiation characteristics: application to heavy element. *Recent Studies in Perturbation Theory*, ed. D. Uzunov (InTech) **2017**, 131-150.
13. Kobayashi, K., Kurita, N., Kumahora, H., Kuzatami, T. Bond-energy calculations of Cu, Ag, CuAg with the generalized gradient approximation. *Phys.Rev.A*. **1991**, 43, 5810
14. Lagowski, J., Vosko, S. Analysis of local and gradient-correction correlation energy functionals using electron removal energies. *J. Phys.B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **1988**, 21(1), 203-208.
15. Guo, Y., Whitehead, M. Effect of the correlation correction on the ionization potential and electron affinity in atoms. *Phys.Rev.A*. **1989**, 39(1), 28-34.
16. Khetselius, O.Yu., Lopatkin Yu.M., Dubrovskaya, Yu.V., Svinarenko A.A. Sensing hyperfine-structure, electroweak interaction and parity non-conservation effect in heavy atoms and nuclei: New nuclear-QED approach. *Sensor Electr. And Microsyst. Techn.* **2010**, 7(2), 11-19.
17. Florko, T., Ambrosov, S., Svinarenko A., Tkach, T. Collisional shift of the heavy atoms hyperfine lines in an atmosphere of the inert gas. *J. Phys: Conf. Ser.* **2012**, 397(1), 012037.
18. Khetselius, O. Relativistic perturbation theory calculation of the hyperfine structure parameters for some heavy-element isotopes. *Int. J. Quant. Chem.* **2009**, 109, 3330–3335.
19. Khetselius, O. Relativistic calculation of the hyperfine structure parameters for heavy elements and laser detection of the heavy isotopes. *Phys. Scr.* **2009**, 135, 014023.
20. Glushkov A.V., *Atom in electromagnetic field*. KNT: Kiev, **2005**.
21. Khetselius, O. Yu. *Hyperfine structure of atomic spectra*; Astroprint: Odessa, **2008**.
22. Khetselius, O.Yu. *Quantum structure of electroweak interaction in heavy finite Fermi-systems*. Astroprint: Odessa, **2011**.
23. Khetselius, O.Y., Glushkov, A.V., Gurskaya, M.Y., Kuznetsova, A.A., Dubrovskaya, Yu.V., Serga, I.N., Vitavetskaya, L.A. Computational modelling parity nonconservation and electroweak interaction effects in heavy atomic systems within the nuclear-relativistic many-body perturbation theory. *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **2017**, 905(1), 012029.
24. Glushkov, A., Gurskaya, M., Ignatenko, A., Smirnov, A., Serga, I., Svinarenko, A., Ternovsky, E. Computational code in atomic and nuclear quantum optics: Advanced computing multiphoton resonance parameters for atoms in a strong laser field. *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **2017**, 905(1), 012004.
25. Ambrosov S., Ignatenko V., Korchevsky D., Kozlovskaya V. Sensing stochasticity of atomic systems in crossed electric and magnetic fields by analysis of level statistics for continuous energy spectra. *Sensor Electr. and Microsyst. Techn.* **2005**, Issue 2, 19-23.
26. Buyadzhi, V.V., Glushkov, A.V., Mansarliysky, V.F., Ignatenko, A.V., Svinarenko, A. Spectroscopy of atoms in a strong laser field: new method to sensing ac stark effect, multiphoton resonances parameters and ionization cross-sections. *Sensor Electr. and Microsyst. Techn.* **2015**, 12(4), 27-36.
27. Svinarenko A.A., Mischenko E., Loboda A., Dubrovskaya Yu. Quantum measure of frequency and sensing the collisional

- shift of the ytterbium hyperfine lines in medium of helium gas. *Sensor Electr. and Microsyst. Techn.* **2009**, 1, 25-29.
28. Malinovskaya S.V., Dubrovskaya Yu.V., Zelentzova T.N. The atomic chemical environment effect on the b decay probabilities: Relativistic calculation. *Herald of Kiev Nat. Univ. Ser.: Phys.-Math.* **2004**, N4, 427-432.
29. Glushkov A., Khetselius O., Svinarenko A., Prepelitsa G., Mischenko E., The Green's functions and density functional approach to vibrational structure in the photoelectron spectra for molecules. *AIP Conf. Proc.* **2010**, 1290, 263-268.
30. Khetselius O., Florko T., Svinarenko A., Tkach T. Radiative and collisional spectroscopy of hyperfine lines of the Li-like heavy ions and Tl atom in an atmosphere of inert gas. *Phys. Scripta.* **2013**, T153, 014037.
31. Glushkov, A.V., Kivganov, A.F., Khokhlov, V.N., Buyadzhii, T.V., Vitavetskaya, L.A., Borovskaya, G.A., Polishchuk, V.N. Calculation of the spectroscopic characteristics of diatomic van der Waals molecules and ions: Inert gas atom—halogen-type inert gas ion in the ground state. *Russian Phys. Journ.* **1998**, 41(3), 223-226
32. Glushkov, A., Malinovskii, A., Efimov, V., Kivganov, A., Khokhlov, V., Vitavetskaya, L., Borovskaya, G., Calculation of alkaline metal dimers in terms of model perturbation theory. *J. Struct. Chem.* **1998**, 39(2), 179-185.
33. Khetselius, O.Yu. Hyperfine structure of radium. *Photoelectron.* **2005**, 14, 83-85
34. Khetselius O.Yu., Quantum Geometry: New approach to quantization of the quasistationary states of Dirac equation for super heavy ion and calculating hyper fine structure parameters. *Proc. Int. Geometry Center.* **2012**, 5(3-4), 39-45.
35. Dubrovskaya, Yu., Khetselius, O.Yu., Vitavetskaya, L., Ternovsky, V., Serga, I. Quantum chemistry and spectroscopy of pionic atomic systems with accounting for relativistic, radiative, and strong interaction effects. *Adv. in Quantum Chem.* **2019**, Vol.78, pp 193-222.
36. Khetselius, O.Yu., Glushkov, A.V., Dubrovskaya, Yu.V., Chernyakova, Yu.G., Ignatenko, A.V., Serga, I.N., Vitavetskaya, L. Relativistic quantum chemistry and spectroscopy of exotic atomic systems with accounting for strong interaction effects. In: Wang YA, Thachuk M, Krems R, Maruani J (eds) *Concepts, Methods and Applications of Quantum Systems in Chemistry and Physics*. Springer, Cham, **2018**; Vol. 31, pp. 71-91.
37. Glushkov, A.V., Ivanov, L.N. Radiation decay of atomic states: atomic residue polarization and gauge noninvariant contributions. *Phys. Lett. A* **1992**, 170, 33.
38. Glushkov, A.V.; Ivanov, L.N. DC strong-field Stark effect: consistent quantum-mechanical approach. *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **1993**, 26, L379-386.
39. Ivanova, E., Glushkov, A. Theoretical investigation of spectra of multicharged ions of F-like and Ne-like isoelectronic sequences. *J. Quant. Spectr. and Rad. Tr.* **1986**, 36(2), 127-145.
40. Ivanova, E.P., Ivanov, L.N., Glushkov, A., Kramida, A. High order corrections in the relativistic perturbation theory with the model zeroth approximation, Mg-Like and Ne-Like Ions. *Phys. Scripta* **1985**, 32, 513-522.
41. Glushkov, A.V. Multiphoton spectroscopy of atoms and nuclei in a laser field: Relativistic energy approach and radiation atomic lines moments method. *Adv. in Quantum Chem.* **2019**, 78, 253-285.
42. Glushkov, A.V., Khetselius, O.Yu., Svinarenko, A.A., Buyadzhii, V. *Methods of computational mathematics and mathematical physics. P.1.* TES: Odessa, **2015**.
43. Glushkov, A.V., Safranov, T.A., Khetselius, O.Yu., Ignatenko, A.V., Buyadzhii, V.V., Svinarenko, A.A. Analysis and forecast of the environmental radioactivity dynamics based on methods of chaos theory: General conceptions. *Environm. Problems.* **2016**, 1(2), 115-120.
44. Glushkov, A., Buyadzhii, V., Kvasikova,

- A., Ignatenko, A., Kuznetsova, A., Prepelitsa, G., Ternovsky, V. Non-Linear chaotic dynamics of quantum systems: Molecules in an electromagnetic field and laser systems. In: Tadjer A, Pavlov R, Maruani J et al, (eds) *Quantum Systems in Physics, Chemistry, and Biology*. Springer, Cham. **2017**, 30, 169
45. Robert, C., Morrison, R., Liu, G., Extended Koopmans theorem: approximate ionization energies from MCSCF Wave Functions. *J. Comp. Chem.* **1992**, 13, 1004-1010.
46. Glushkov A.V., Khetselius O.Yu., Loboda A.V., Ignatenko A., Svinarenko A., Korchevsky D., Lovett L., QED Approach to Modeling Spectra of the Multicharged Ions in a Plasma: Oscillator and Electron-ion Collision Strengths.. *AIP Conference Proceedings*. **2008**. 1058. 175-177
47. Svinarenko, A. Spectroscopy of autoionization resonances in spectra of barium. *Photoelectronics*. **2014**, 23, 86-90.

PACS 33.20.-t

Ignatenko A.V., Svinarenko A.A., Mansarliysky V.F., Sakun T.N.

SPECTROSCOPIC FACTORS OF DIATOMIC MOLECULES: OPTIMIZED GREEN'S FUNCTIONS AND DENSITY FUNCTIONAL METHOD

Summary. It is presented an advanced approach to computing the energy and spectral parameters of the diatomic molecules, which is based on the hybrid combined density functional theory (DFT) and the Green's-functions (GF) approach. The Fermi-liquid quasiparticle version of the density functional theory is modified and used. The density of states, which describe the vibrational structure in photoelectron spectra, is defined with the use of combined DFT-GF approach and is well approximated by using only the first order coupling constants in the optimized one-quasiparticle approximation. Using the combined DFT-GF approach to computing the spectroscopic factors of diatomic molecules leads to significant simplification of the calculation procedure and increasing an accuracy of theoretical prediction. As illustration, the results of computing the bond energies in a number of known diatomic molecules are presented and compared with alternative theoretical results, obtained within discrete-variational X_α , muffin-tin orbitals and other methods.

Key words: diatomic molecules, Green's functions, density functional

PACS 33.20.-t

Игнатенко А.В., Свиаренко А.А., Мансарлийский В.Ф., Сакун Т.Н.

ОПТИМИЗИРОВАННЫЙ МЕТОД ФУНКЦИОНАЛА ПЛОТНОСТИ И ФУНКЦИЙ ГРИНА В РАСЧЕТАХ ЭНЕРГИИ СВЯЗИ ДВУХАТОМНЫХ МОЛЕКУЛ

Резюме. Представлен усовершенствованный подход к вычислению энергетических и спектральных параметров двухатомных молекул, базирующийся на гибридной комбинированной теории функционала плотности (ТФП) и методе функций Грина (ФГ). Используется модель ферми-жидкостная квазичастичная версия ТФП. Плотность состояний, которая описывает колебательную структуру в фотоэлектронных спектрах, определяется с использованием комбинированного подхода ТФП - ФГ. Использование комбинированного ТФП-ФГ подхода приводит к значительному упрощению процедуры расчета и повышению точности теоретического прогнозирования. В качестве иллюстрации представлены результаты расчета энергий связи в ряде известных двухатомных молекул, которые сравниваются с альтернативными теоретическими результатами, полученными с помощью дискретно-вариационного X_α и других подходов.

Ключевые слова: двухатомные молекулы, функция Грина, функционал плотности

Ігнатенко Г.В., Свинаренко А.А., Мансарлійський В.Ф. , Сакун Т.М.

ОПТИМІЗОВАНИЙ МЕТОД ФУНКЦІОНАЛУ ГУСТИНИ І ФУНКЦІЙ ГРІНА В РОЗРАХУНКАХ ЕНЕРГІЙ ЗВ'ЯЗКУ ДВОАТОМНИХ МОЛЕКУЛ

Резюме. Представленний вдосконалений метод обчислення енергетичних та спектральних параметрів 2-атомних молекул, що базується на гібридній теорії функціонала щільності (ТФП) і методі функцій Гріна (ФГ). Використано фермі-рідинну квазічастинкову версію ТФП. Густини станів, які описує коливальну структуру фотоелектронного спектру, визначається в межах ТФП-ФГ методу. Використання комбінованого ТФП-ФГ методу призводить до спрощення процедури обчислень, підвищення точності прогнозу. В якості ілюстрації представлені результати розрахунку енергій зв'язку в ряді відомих двоатомних молекул, які порівнюються з альтернативними теоретичними результатами, отриманими за допомогою дискретно-варіаційного і інших підходів.

Ключові слова: двоатомні молекули, функція Гріна, функціонал густини.